

Article

Beyond the Cosmic Ladder: The Ultimate State, According to Julius Evola and Paul Brunton¹

By Joscelyn Godwin

The purpose of this paper is to explain and compare two modern views of the ultimate in human consciousness. I have chosen Julius Evola and Paul Brunton because of the close coincidences of life and doctrine, despite their contrasting personalities, and for the clarity and activity of their writing. In my understanding they both furthered the esoteric current, though neither claimed to have received his wisdom from Masters or from clairvoyance, nor were they concerned with mapping the Cosmic Ladder. Instead, they proposed the ultimate challenge: to get beyond it altogether.

Although they discouraged curiosity about their family roots and their life, some synchronicities are worth mentioning. Evola (1898-1974) was born in Rome into a middle-class family of Sicilian origin, which he

dismissed as follows: "I owe very little to the milieu in which I was born, to the education which I received, and to my own blood."² Brunton (1898-1981) was born the same year into London's Jewish diaspora, of which he wrote: "The narrow matrix in which heredity attempted to mould my nature, I early broke and discarded, for my whole thought and temperament were of another cast."³

Aside from conventional schooling they were autodidacts: neither completed higher education.⁴ In the First World War, when Britain and Italy were allies, Evola served as an artillery officer, Brunton in a tank regiment. At the war's end, aged 21, they emerged into the tumultuous postwar culture of Rome and London, respectively. At first they were drawn to Bohemian circles: Evola as a painter and both of them as occasional poets, then to the occultist

eu whose visible center, in both cities, the Theosophical Society. Brunton and the Astrological Lodge in April 1917.⁵ Evola never joined the Society, but participated in Rome's Independent Anthroposophical League and made lasting contacts there. They were soon attracted to Eastern wisdom, Evola to Taoism and Tantrism, Brunton to Vedanta and Buddhism. They also had close friends in the Anthroposophical movement—Brunton heard Steiner lecture in London in 1919—but kept their independence from all organizations. By their early thirties they had found their vocation as writers, supported by independent financialism.

Evola and Brunton had both experienced unusual states of consciousness, but came to them by different ways. By the age of twenty, Brunton had discovered a book by the Sufi, Ibn Tufail's *Awakening of the Soul*. Inspired by its example of self-education, he took up daily meditation after what he calls "eighteen months of burning aspiration for the actual Self . . . underwent a series of mystical ecstasies."⁶ Evola began meditation in wartime, while stationed in the mountains, for no apparent reason beyond disgust with life and a wish for dissolution. This led to a

series of illuminations and adventures in the supersensible world that he later described in some detail.⁷ He also made temporary use of drugs (notably ether) which gave him what he calls "forms of consciousness removed from ordinary sense perception."⁸

Each in his own fashion suffered the "dark night of the soul." Evola, a warrior at heart, regretted that the war had given him no real military action, and returned to civilian life with a sense of emptiness that brought him at the age of 23 to the brink of suicide. Suddenly he happened to read a passage from the Buddhist scriptures which stated that even the urge to extinction was only another bondage from which to be freed. "At that moment, I believe, a change took place within me, and I acquired steadfastness capable of overcoming all crises."⁹ Later he took up the daily practice of Buddhist meditation.¹⁰

Brunton records a loss of his early aspirations after the war and an intense dislike of the city's atmosphere, to which suicide seemed the only rational solution.¹¹ He gave himself two weeks to prepare for the deed by studying books on death in the British Museum, and there discovered spiritualism, which so fascinated him that he postponed his suicide for another two weeks, and then

definitely. His meditation resumed with renewed vigor, and soon he received a tremendous initiatory vision and a sense of his appointed mission. He then onwards both young men were set on their lifetime's path, striving to the complete transcendence of the common human condition.

Brunton was the first to publish the fruit of his experiences. By 1919 he was contributing to the *Occult Review*, the main independent journal of its kind in England. His framework at that point was broadly Theosophical. He quoted Steiner and Annie Besant, discussed planetary influences, and wrote, as he would always write, on the borderline between the intellectual and the inspirational. A short article in 1921 about the Mystic and the Occultist as the "two faces of man" pointed out a distinction that would be crucial in Brunton's later work: the difference between the mystic and the philosopher, here called the Occultist.¹² He uses Theosophical terms to describe how the Mystic is at home in the astral realm, but has to move up to the buddhic realm. The corresponding goal for the Occultist is to move from the lower mental plane to the higher mental plane. Brunton warns of the pitfalls on both paths, and says that

the only guarantee, for both types, is selfless love.

Evola was meanwhile working with similar ideas but on a larger scale. By 1924 he had completed an imposing treatise on the "Theory and Phenomenology of the Absolute Individual."¹³ The first volume surveys the idealist philosophies of East and West; the second is concerned with experience, notably on the higher path which Evola calls "magical idealism." Benedetto Croce, at the time Italy's most eminent philosopher, facilitated publication of the two-volume work.

Allowing for their different styles, their metaphysical systems were developing in parallel, with a ladder that placed religion at the bottom, mysticism in the middle, and philosophy or magic at the top. Evola had little regard for the bottom rung: he wrote that "any religion, if it is really religion and not something else, is mysticism";¹⁴ Brunton, that religion "ought never to forget its higher purpose which is to fit the more advanced among its flock for the next higher degree,"¹⁵ namely for mysticism. And for both, the degree beyond mysticism was distinguished by a quasi-scientific objectivity. Evola says that "unlike mysticism, magic would be primarily defined by the positively

ive and objective character of its
ice.”¹⁶ Brunton, that the “hidden
sophy” “arrives at completeness
sults, uncontradictability of truth
the verified principle underlying
nases of experience and knowledge
h when attained, makes everything
understood”¹⁷

Neither writer leaves the reader
y doubt about the perils awaiting
aspirant. Brunton warns that the
ltist who turns his energies to
onal ambition runs the risk of
ring the very real sphere of black
ic, or occult selfishness . . . then
s a really lost soul.”¹⁸ Evola writes
once a certain state is reached,
mind must be “absolutely neutral
rds any sort of subjective effort and
ite,” otherwise its tendencies can
erate a chaos which would block
way to any higher realization,”
in a footnote he mentions black
ic as exploiting such projections
e developed but impure mind.¹⁹
a too treats the nature of love, not
anything sentimental or moral but
active and purificatory suffering,
ng to “the freedom of a life in such
ossession that . . . it can give all,
se all without thinking of itself.”²⁰
but it does not stop there. To
guish themselves from teachers

of mystic paths and from academic
philosophy, Evola and Brunton made
it clear that theirs was a path of action,
not just contemplation. Their concept of
the ultimate state was not the merging
of the dewdrop into the shining sea (as
in the closing lines of Edwin Arnold’s
The Light of Asia), but the return to the
marketplace (as in the Zen Buddhist
Oxherding Pictures). Brunton criticized
the yogis of India for their indifference
to the world and renunciation of action.
Evola wrote that “development is an
illusion when it does not affect and act
on the factual, bodily reality in which
men live.”²¹

They were equally pragmatic in
their mapping of the human being.
Brunton soon dropped those allusions
to the multiple planes and principles of
man which the Theosophists had been so
diligent in elaborating. Evola was never
tempted by them. Two were enough: for
Evola, the “I” of common experience,
and the transcendent I that he called the
Absolute Individual. Brunton, starting
with his *Secret Path* of 1935,²² called them
the ego and the Overself. He adapted the
latter term from Emerson’s “Oversoul,”
replacing the religious connotations of
“soul” with the immediacy of “self.”
Thus the process of the “quest,” of
“high magic,” or of whatever one calls

development of man's ultimate abilities, was framed by its starting end-points. But how was one to go about it?

The great failing of the Theosophical Society, in the view of its more ambitious members, was its lack of practical instruction. Blavatsky's writings were full of the allurements of occultism, but neither they nor the neo-Theosophists—Annie Besant, Leadbeater, Bailey—taught how to become an occultist. There were a few exceptions. The earliest Theosophical Society in New York indulged the members' hopes for clairvoyant powers and astral travel, but after Blavatsky's departure for India it offered any such instruction that she might have given in private. The Esoteric Society that she founded in response to the public demand remained secretive and extremely select. This accounts for the existence of a rival body, the Hermetic Brotherhood of Luxor, which was little more than a mail-order service but at least offered some practical instruction. It was based on Paschal Beverly Randolph's methods: sex, drugs, and magic mirrors. Rudolf Steiner was a safer guide, of whose work Brunton and Evola were well aware.²³ But books alone were not enough. If their natural gifts, already extraordinary, were to bear fruit, they

needed personal guidance. Brunton names two mentors: an "advanced mystic" called Mr. Thurston or "Brother M" who guided him through initiatic processes, and Allan Bennett, who had been one of Aleister Crowley's magical colleagues, then had taken Buddhist orders and returned to London to promote the Noble Eightfold Path.²⁴ Once Brunton began his travels to the East in 1930 he met numerous sages, adepts, and holy men, notably the Advaitin sage Sri Ramana Maharshi;²⁵ but by then he was well on his way.

Evola acknowledges no such personal guides, but he was familiar with initiatic groups that claimed a long ancestry and deep secrets. He credits Arturo Reghini, a Pythagorean, neopagan, and esoteric Freemason, for freeing him from his last occultist and Theosophical leanings.²⁶ He also knew members of Giuliano Kremmerz's Therapeutic and Magical Brotherhood of Myriam, who provided some of the exercises later used by the Ur Group that Evola and Reghini founded. Certainly the group's essays, taken as a whole, are outstanding among the practical manuals published in modern times. Evola contributed essays under at least four pseudonyms, Ea, Arvo, Agarda, and Iagla, reserving the latter

accounts of his personal experiences and practical advice arising from them. In the summer of 1925 Evola gave a lecture to the Independent Theosophical League of Rome on *l'individuo e il divenire del mondo* (The Individual and the Becoming of the World). The League's journal published it that year.²⁷ The main argument is that philosophical idealism to the effect that it is one thing to persuade one's self that all they can ever know of the world is their own thoughts of it: Emerson would write a whole volume about that.²⁸ But the words "of it" are the key. In Evola's system there is no "it," no real world independent of one's consciousness. Those interested in these arguments can read them for themselves; I will summarize their conclusions.

The only thing I am absolutely certain of is my own consciousness. I view the world only as it appears to me. It is a messy and unsatisfactory world, separate from myself and full of incessant desires in me. Many people suffer this as the only possibility, reproaching the world for its imperfections vis-à-vis some ideal of how it should be. Religions aid and abet them. One higher solution is the Stoic's abandonment of the world

for absorption in nirvana or some equivalent. Another is the Stoic's, who makes himself a bulwark of indifference to the world's inevitable assaults. Those do not satisfy Evola. He calls on the individual to find a principle in himself that is simple and ineffable: "that point of pure centrality of which the Upanishads speak . . . *the absolute presence* that *I am* in the depths of my individual being."²⁹

At this point we move from theory to phenomenology, beyond the pale of academic philosophy, because Evola is writing about states of consciousness beyond the rational consensus, and only attainable through extraordinary effort. In this state of absolute presence, the outside world is no longer known as real, but rather as something missing and incomplete in oneself that demands reintegration.³⁰ This can only be achieved through the free action of the self, and only thus does the world become real.³¹ Evola puts it in no uncertain terms: "We fiercely oppose all the intellectual and philosophical rhetoric in which man wastes time in discussions within his impotence (meaning when he talks about "truth," "objectivity," "rationality," etc.) instead of finally jumping to his feet, getting a grip on himself and, burning it up,

ing himself what he is: a *God, a
structor of worlds.*"³²

When Evola read this paper to
astonished Theosophists, he had
already finished his two-volume work
the Absolute Individual. In one
page he contrasts the Buddha of
Hinayana, who attains an abstract,
individual liberation, with the Buddha
of the Mahayana, a cosmic being who
turns to the world, "sacrificing"
himself for its liberation. The principle
is not a liberation from the world, but
the world as liberation.³³ In the same
text, Evola contrasts the Apollonian
with the Dionysian way. Apollo's is the
path of detachment from an imperfect
and frightening world and the "horror
of the infinite," creating the surrogate of
a orderly and reliable cosmos.³⁴ For the
Dionysian, in Evola's stirring words,

There is only one obstacle: fear.
Once that is overcome, Apollo is
vanquished. Then in a timeless
instant, like ice crystals touched
by blocks of incandescent metal,
the film of forms, of names, and
all the exteriority of mind and
heart vanishes and falls away. A
great dawn rises, a higher levity;
in the midst, a body woven of
naked light; then slowly a new
world, "no longer stained by the

spirit," transformed. Finally
the "heavens fall" and reveal
the original tragedy of an ardent
chaos, in which, in a flash, one
attains the apex of absolute
possession, which is the power of
affirmation and of negation.³⁵

The last pages of his treatise are
indeed incandescent in their effort
to convey the reality of the Absolute
Individual, beyond all categories of
thought, imagery, or logic. This prospect
of transcending every shred of human
personality to become an autonomous
cosmic power, above even the gods,
was the foundation for all his later
work. If Evola did experience what he
was writing about, there was no further
to go. We will leave him there for now,
still in his mid-twenties, and turn to
corresponding ideas in Brunton's work.

Brunton's books of the 1930s were
written to encourage Westerners to take
up meditation, not as an exotic import
but as a practical way to restore balance
to modern life and discover their "inner
reality," as one of the books is titled.³⁶ By
Evola's standards they would qualify
as Apollonian, rather than Dionysian,
for the goal is the "inner world of the
Overself [that] is our true homeland,"
where we can find "silent and eternal
solace for our hearts."³⁷ The path,

ver, is not all rosy. Here Brunton describes one of its more challenging phases:

The first-fruit of success will be a feeling that one is being torn asunder from one's mooring in life, a momentary loss of the sense of reality of the universe. It is like plunging into an abyss of infinity where the essence of one's existence threatens to pass away beyond recall. This curious condition mingles a momentary but powerful fear of death with a sense of being liberated. . . . Absolute fearlessness, *a readiness to die*, is now called for. Such a burning purpose will, with time, turn all resistance to ash and dust.³⁸

Brunton's next project was to found a metaphysical system to serve as a corrective to current beliefs, whether religious or secular, and to give a rational foundation to mystical experience. In *Hidden Teaching Beyond Yoga* he made the case for the mentalist world against the materialist, meaning that the universe is fundamentally a mental construct manifesting through consciousness. In *The Wisdom of the Overself*³⁹ he explained the interaction of the individual Overself with the World

Mind, and of that with the ultimate and ineffable reality he simply calls Mind. To students of Indian philosophy this was perhaps familiar ground, but Brunton adapted it to plain English. He added a series of exercises designed to make this philosophy an experiential reality and indeed a way of life.

Is Brunton's concept of the Overself the same as Evola's of the Absolute Individual? Yes and no. As I understand Brunton's scheme, in one sense the Overself exists as a distinct entity, but in another sense it is an aspect of the World Mind, of which it is like a single ray. That ray projects an indefinite series of egos or lives which, from the temporal point of view, form an evolutionary chain, of which one life will eventually achieve union with it. Thus every person is a stage on the way to the conscious identity with his or her Overself. Naturally this is a rare occurrence in times such as ours, but every step towards it, hence every individual, is of value. In Evola's scheme, on the contrary, the Absolute Individual does not have a history or intentions of its own: it is there to be realized, made real, only by the man who is capable of the task. His later writings insist that the immortality of the individual is conditional, not universal. But if that means that the ego, which

udes the psyche, is not immortal, Brunton would certainly agree, unlike teachings of Christianity and Islam, which the soul lives forever on the length of a single life, or the beliefs of incarnationists who can only imagine what transmigrates resembles their present selves.

Brunton published no books in the last thirty years,⁴⁰ but continued to write, mostly in epigrammatic form, sending the results for publication until his death in 1981. His admirers collected them in sixteen volumes of "ebooks," following the author's own classification.⁴¹ Like Evola, he was aware of both the theory and the phenomenology of consciousness, but with a difference even from his own previous writings. There he taught the path, a quest, a method, and an intellectual rationale for the experience of the Overself. In the language of Yoga, the culminating state is called *nirvikalpa samadhi*, absorption in the Absolute. Brunton now wrote from a still higher standpoint: that of *sahaja samadhi*, which is the coexistence of that absorption with normal consciousness.

I will summarize briefly from the several hundred notes that Brunton wrote on this subject. Unlike the yogi, the sage (as Brunton calls the person in

this condition) can lead an outwardly normal life while enjoying unbroken consciousness of the Absolute, even in deep sleep.⁴² Along with the physical body he retains his individuality, but has no sense of personal attachment to them.⁴³ He watches the world-process with intelligent interest in the "interplay of cyclic impetus and karmic results,"⁴⁴ while being free of all negative thoughts or emotions about it,⁴⁵ or about his fellow humans: he knows and accepts them as they mentally are.⁴⁶ He will return to incarnation, time and again, to help all beings to attain truth and happiness.⁴⁷ But he is not a god. As though cautioning Evola's youthful excesses, Brunton writes: "It is a fallacy to think that this displacement of the lower self brings about its complete substitution by the infinite and absolute Deity. This fallacy is an ancient and common one in mystical circles and leads to fantastic declarations of self-deification. If the lower self is displaced, it is not destroyed. It lives on in strict subordination to the higher one, the Overself . . ." ⁴⁸

Whom did he have in mind? Scattered among this section of his notes are a few suggestive names, including Buddha and Jesus, Lao Tzu and Confucius, Sengai Gibon, St.

erine of Siena, Meister Eckhart, n Waldo Emerson, Vivekananda, ada Mayee, Atmananda, and Sri ana Maharshi. Brunton asks: "Do men of realization live among us?" and answers "Once I thought but now I must honestly confess that there is no proof of the existence of even a single one."⁴⁹ Elsewhere he says "There may be some hiding in the monasteries of Tibet or in the penthouses of New York City,"⁵⁰ while "Nature works very hard and only attains her aim once in a hundred thousand throws. In mankind she will be contented if she creates one in a hundred million people."⁵¹ Be sure, anyone who publicly announces the fact of his illumination is a impostor.⁵²

Evola was familiar with the concept of *sahaja samadhi* from his study of Buddhism, especially what he calls the "most extreme *Mahayana* schools."⁵³ In *The Doctrine of Awakening* he treats their doctrine of non-difference between *Nirvana*—the Absolute—and *Samsara*—the conditioned world. In chapter on "Phenomenology of the Path to Liberation," Evola describes the highest degree as "that where, while still a living man, one has completely achieved extinction through having permanently destroyed . . . the

primordial ignorance, thirst, and transcendental intoxications."⁵⁴ That is a negative definition. For a positive one, he quotes from a Zen master: "Do not be attached to anything whatsoever: if you understand this, walking or standing, sitting or lying, you will never cease to be in the state of Zen, in the state of contemplation and of illumination."⁵⁵ And in this state of ultimate reality, "no one and nothing 'extraordinary' exists in the beyond; only the real exists. Reality is, however, lived in a state in which 'there is no subject of the experience nor any object that is experienced'."⁵⁶

Although Evola and Brunton had no illusions about the rarity of such attainment today, they were more optimistic when taking a wider view of the individual's history. As Evola puts it in his late work, *Ride the Tiger*, "the human condition of earthly existence is only a restricted section in a continuum, in a current that traverses many other states."⁵⁷ Brunton says that "other lives, other days, other times, other levels of consciousness already exist just as much as this very moment, even though we do not apprehend them . . ."⁵⁸ Readers of René Guénon will recognize the theory of the "multiple states of the being,"⁵⁹ which teaches that human life on earth is one of an indefinite number of states

ch, from the point of view of the total
g, are co-present. We just happen
experience this one in isolation and
er the conditions of time, space, and
ality. Given that, it is reasonable to
pose that other states preceded our
a and will follow our death, though in
non's system a being cannot manifest
e than once in the same state: hence
e is no reincarnation on earth.⁶⁰

This remains a bone of contention
ween Traditionalists and Theo-
ists. Brunton agrees with Theosophy,
indeed with most Hindus and
dhists, that many earth lives are
ssary to develop man's ultimate
ntial. He writes ruefully that the
is "forced to live among people
are mostly several hundred earth-
younger than he, and consequently
e 'unsympathetic' . . ." ⁶¹

Traditionalists are also un-
fortable with the theory of
ution. One reason is their antipathy
e modern world, seen as the result
evolution or degeneration compared
rlier times. But taking a longer view,
ality does not enter into it, and there
nd a surprising consensus between
two philosophers. In *The Secret Path*,
ished in 1935 with a foreword by
e Bailey, Brunton mentions that if we
back "into the dimmest regions of

prehistoric antiquity, we reach a period
when man entirely dropped his body of
flesh and inhabited an electro-magnetic
form, a radiant body of ether."⁶² This is
Blavatskian anthropology in a scientific
guise.

In *Revolt against the Modern World*,
published in 1934, Evola also mentions
the non-materialized state of early
humans: "the absence of human fossils
and the sole presence of animal fossils in
remotest prehistory may be interpreted
to mean that primordial man (if we
can call 'man' a type so different from
historical humanity) was the last to
enter that process of materialization
that—after the animals—endowed his
first stock, already degenerate, deviant,
and mixed with animality, with an
organism able to be preserved in the
form of fossils."⁶³ He probably got the
idea from his Anthroposophist friends,
for Steiner in *Cosmic Memory* writes of
how some primordial humans followed
the animals in a premature descent from
the etheric state, while others remained
there longer to develop their spiritual
organs, before being the last creatures
to acquire physical bodies.⁶⁴

It is surprising to find such ideas
in Evola's central work, but for all his
antipathy, he cannot be absolved from
complicity in the spiritual movement

hed by H. P. Blavatsky. As
 ioned, his first esoteric ventures
 with the Roman Theosophists,
 it was members of that group—
 independent of the Anglo-Saxon
 d⁶⁵—who guided him to the study
 oism and Tantra: traditions that the
 Theosophists had neglected, or, in
 use of Tantra, misrepresented. Evola
 l, of course, on secondary sources,
 ly the English translations by Sir
 Woodroffe, who in correspondence
 oved of Evola's insights. After
 ng these traditions accessible for
 rst time in Italian, Evola went on
 acidate Western traditions such as
 neticism, alchemy, and the quest
 e Holy Grail. With the Ur Group
 e 1920s he experimented with the
 possibilities of the human being,
 a guarded respect for Steiner's
 Kremmerz's methods. If we define
 Theosophical current not as the
 w history of the Society but as the
 uation of its original impulses,
 Evola greatly furthered two of
 hree original objects. And one
 imagine HPB's delight at his and
 ini's promotion of paganism on
 ery doorstep of the Vatican!⁶⁶

Much the same can be said of
 on. His early membership of
 London society soon lapsed,

but he always defended Blavatsky:
 "If H. P. Blavatsky got some things
 wrong, it is pardonable in a work of
 vast dimensions. She got many new
 unfamiliar things amazingly right."⁶⁷
 In sum, both philosophers continued
 a globalizing project that did not even
 begin with Theosophy: that of gathering
 esoteric wisdom, especially from the
 non-European world, and interpreting
 it for the benefit of a nucleus of their
 own: in Evola's case, what he called the
 "differentiated man," and in Brunton's
 case the solitary seekers who found
 that it answered their needs. As for the
 third object, gathering the nucleus of a
 Universal Brotherhood of Humanity,
 both philosophers had witnessed its
 futility when even the Theosophists
 could not stick together.⁶⁸

At this point one naturally thinks
 of Krishnamurti (1895-1986), who
 was in the public eye throughout our
 subjects' adult lives. If anyone was
 famous for kicking away the cosmic
 ladder, it was he; and it was generally
 understood, at least by his admirers,
 that he had attained the ultimate state.
 As it happens, no other contemporary
 received such extensive treatment
 from both our authors. Evola devotes
 most of a chapter to Krishnamurti
 in his book of 1932, *Mask and Face of*

emporary Spirituality, updating it in several editions up to 1971.⁶⁹ Brunton, who met Krishnamurti at least twice, took many notes on the subject.⁷⁰ They both admired him for his integrity in rejecting the messianic role that had been forced on him, and they make allowances for his upbringing. But they also note the irony that, after denying any authority for teachers, gurus, systems or dogmatic practices, he spent his life with all the apparatus of a spiritual master leading a successful sect. Philosophically they consider him sadly deficient. Evola criticizes Krishnamurti's replacement of the Absolute with what he calls "Life" a poor substitute for what should be eternal and invariable.⁷¹ Brunton allows that Krishnamurti has seen through the religious and mystic illusions—a rare achievement—but unfortunately he is still finding his way through the third stage and has not finished yet."⁷² As for the effect on his disciples, Evola writes that "It is certainly not a wise thing to propose ideas which are true, if only on the level of the truly 'liberated' individuals who, like modern men, are suffering from lacking incentives to chaos and anarchy."⁷³ Brunton puts it more fully: "it takes naïve people out of the wilderness and leaves them alone."⁷⁴ Finally, they both associate

Krishnamurti's popularity among the post-1968 generation and his rejection of traditional values with student riots and violent demonstrations.⁷⁵

Given Evola's and Brunton's confident exposition of the highest states of human consciousness, we may ask, in conclusion, how it served them through the central crisis of the twentieth century, the Second World War.

After the First World War Evola had shared the hopes of the so-called "Conservative Revolution" and tried to influence both the Fascist and the National Socialist movements in that political direction, with the added motive of spiritualizing their ideas. In the process he became deeply compromised, especially through his infatuation with the subject of race. He put up with Nazism, whose methods and leaders he despised, because it seemed the only weapon against what he saw as the greater evils of Soviet Communism and American democracy. In 1934 he concluded *Revolt against the Modern World* with a double tirade against Russia and America: the former for its proletarian principles and its rule of terror, the latter for its materialism and complacency. Behind both, he says, one can detect the warning signs of the advent of the "Nameless Beast."⁷⁶

Evola's wartime exploits have been researched and revealed almost to-day by Gianfranco de Turreis.⁷⁷ The high point was his presence at the meeting between Benito Mussolini and the German High Command in November 1943. Mussolini had been deposed by king Vittorio Emanuele III, deposed, rescued with incredible help by Otto Skorzeny, and brought back to East Prussia. The king was to decide Italy's part in the ongoing war, as well as Mussolini's destiny, and Evola, with his fluency in German, probably served as interpreter. What interests us is that on his return to Rome, now under German occupation, he published two articles in a daily newspaper, one "Liberation" and the other on the teachings of the Tibetan *Book of the Dead*. There he writes of how to face the tragic upheavals of history, when neither religion nor stoical detachment suffices. He compares the situation to a bad dream, because whatever happens "can only be an episode with respect to something stronger and deeper, which does not begin with birth and ends with death, and can even serve as the principle of a superior calm and an incomparable, indestructible stability against every trial."⁷⁸

Brunton was in India when England declared war on Germany in 1939, and remained there for the war's duration as a guest of the Maharajah of Mysore. In 1942 he wrote *The Wisdom of the Overself*, whose philosophy of engagement in the world could not ignore the ongoing war. Brunton, too, could write of the philosopher who "practises non-attachment by understanding the transiency of all things,"⁷⁹ but he saw the war as much more than an "episode": rather as the effort of malignant forces to block the spiritual evolution of mankind. "This is a war in the heavens as well as on earth."⁸⁰

The difference in attitudes hinges on a difference in how they viewed world history and the destiny of the individual. Evola's framework was the traditional one of the Four Ages, Golden, Silver, Bronze, and Iron, with their Hindu equivalents of the Satya, Treta, Dwapara, and Kali Yugas. By common consent we are now in the last part of the Kali Yuga, in which humanity attains the depth of depravity and materialism. The world itself is heading for catastrophe, which will be succeeded by a new Golden Age and a new cycle of 64,800 years, or whatever duration is assigned to the Yuga cycle.⁸¹

there is no point in waiting for
 The "differentiated man" can
 any situation to favor his quest
 the Absolute Individual, and war,
 heed the *Bhagavad Gita*, offers the
 opportunity for the kshatriya to attain
 perfection. The rights or wrongs of the
 sides are a secondary matter, though
 in the present case Evola identified the
 enemy as materialism. The goals
 of Communism, as he had written in
Ult against the Modern World, were
 a total and definitive negation of
 the "supranatural order" and "radical
 materialism in every domain."⁸² Under
 such a tyranny even the lower rungs of
 the spiritual ladder, like religion and
 mysticism, were inaccessible.

Brunton acknowledged the
 existence of the yuga cycle, but the
 fix for his temporal system was
 much longer Theosophical scheme,
 already alluded to by both authors
 in the passages I have quoted about
 the fall of humanity and the descent of
 the human race. Blavatsky's *Secret Doctrine* teaches
 that humanity as a whole has descended
 from a spiritual state into materiality,
 and is now about to reverse the process
 and return to a spiritual condition, with
 the advantage of the experiences thus
 gained. Here is a crucial sentence from
Wisdom of the Overself: "The same

process which projected the ego from
 the Overself into that exteriorization of
 its own consciousness which we believe
 to be the material world, is now at work
 to withdraw it again."⁸³ According to
 the Theosophical doctrine of cycles this
 is an inevitable process, but exactly how
 and when it happens is up to the human
 race. There can be drastic setbacks to the
 process, and at the time of his writing
 one of these was the real possibility
 of a Nazi or a Communist takeover of
 Europe. Either of these, as Russia and
 Germany had already shown, would
 suppress freedom and crush or pervert
 all spiritual aspirations. Yet in Brunton's
 view there was a difference between
 the totalitarian systems. He writes in a
 later note that "The dangers to which
 Nazism exposed the human race were
 immeasurably larger than those to
 which Communism exposes it."⁸⁴ His
 reason, briefly, is that Communism
 at least had a root in sympathy for the
 underprivileged, whereas Nazism was
 motivated from the start by hatred and
 revenge. That said, now that one evil
 was conquered, the other remained, and
 neither philosopher had any illusions
 about Soviet intentions, right up to their
 deaths—Evola's in 1974, Brunton's in
 1981. Both of them thought a third world
 war extremely likely.⁸⁵

In this paper it may seem unfair to have compared Evola's views, some of which were formulated in his twenties, with Brunton's mature positions. But that is all we have to go by. Evola did not write in that idiom in later years. He was philosophically conscious, untaught yet with a mastery of resources that would do credit to a doctoral dissertation, plus insights of great value into transcendent states of consciousness. All his subsequent acts rested on that foundation, so did the choices for which he is reproached today. As Absolute Individual and illuminated Kshatriya, he might have said, with Sri Ramana Maharshi, that "the sage can watch with indifference the slaughter of millions of people in battle." Brunton remarks: "It is quite true of the yogi but it is never true of those who *have sacrificed every future nirvanic beatitude to return to earth until all are saved; they are entitled to the term sage; nor do they do otherwise, for they have secured the unity of all human beings.*"⁸⁶ "PB," as he preferred to be known, considered himself a true companion of the "3" who wrote "To reach Nirvâna's path, but to renounce it, is the supreme, final step."⁸⁷

Endnotes

- 1 An abbreviated version of this paper was read at the conference of the European Society for the Study of Western Esotericism, Amsterdam, July 2-4, 2019.
- 2 Julius Evola, *The Path of Cinnabar*, translated by Sergio Knipe (n.p.: Integral Tradition Publishing, 2009), 8 [originally published in 1963].
- 3 Paul Brunton, *The Hidden Teaching beyond Yoga* (London: Rider & Co., 1941), 29.
- 4 Biographical information on Evola is largely taken from *The Path of Cinnabar*; that on Brunton, from his *Reflections on My Life and Writings* in vol. 8 of his *Notebooks* (Burdett, NY: Larson Publications, 1987), supplemented by Kenneth Thurston Hurst, *Paul Brunton: A Personal View* (Burdett, NY: Larson Publications, 1989). [Kenneth Thurston Hurst was his son.]
- 5 Thanks to Leslie Price for this information.
- 6 Paul Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 8, 8.
- 7 Julius Evola and the Ur Group, *Introduction to Magic*, vol. 1, translated by Guido Stucco (Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions, 2001), 167-72.
- 8 Evola, *Path of Cinnabar*, 15; see also Julius Evola and the Ur Group, *Introduction to Magic*, vol. 3, translated by Joscelyn Godwin (Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions, forthcoming), part XI.4, "On Drugs."
- 9 Evola, *Path of Cinnabar*, 16.
- 10 Evola, *Path of Cinnabar*, 157-58.
- 11 Kenneth T. Hurst, *Paul Brunton*, 43-44.
- 12 Raphael Hurst, "The Two Faces of Man," also entitled "The Mystery of Suffering," *The Occult Review*, May 1922. 286-89. Reprinted in *Paul Brunton, Three Essays and a Poem* (Earlville, NY: Short Path Press, 1989), 8-12. [Raphael Hurst was Paul Brunton's legal name in the 1920s.]

- Julius Evola, *Teoria dell'individuo assoluto* (Rome: Edizioni Mediterranee, 1988) [originally published 1927]; *Fenomenologia dell'individuo assoluto* (Rome: Edizioni Mediterranee, 1985) [originally published 1930].
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 173.
- Brunton, *Hidden Teaching*, 382.
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 178.
- Brunton, *Hidden Teaching*, 230.
- Michael Hurst, "Two Faces," 1989, 12.
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 233 and n.
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 224.
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 268.
- Paul Brunton, *The Secret Path* (London: Rider & Co., 1935).
- Rudolf Steiner, *How to Know Higher Worlds: A Modern Path of Initiation* (Hudson, NY: Anthroposophic Press, 1994) [originally published 1908].
- Kenneth T. Hurst, *Paul Brunton*, 55-62.
- Paul Brunton, *A Search in Secret India* (London: Rider & Co., 1934), 55-62.
- Evola, *Cinnabar Path*, 79. See Marco Rossi, "Julius Evola and the Independent Theosophical Association of Rome." Trans. by E. E. Musson, *Theosophical History* VI, No. 3 (July 1963): 107-114.
- Printed as Julius Evola, *L'individuo e il divenire del mondo* (Carmagnola; Arktos/Oggero Editore, 2000).
- Brunton, *The Hidden Teaching beyond Yoga*.
- Evola, *Individuo*, 42.
- Evola, *Individuo*, 50.
- Evola, *Individuo*, 56.
- Evola, *Individuo*, 56n.
- Evola, *Fenomenologia*, 263.
- 34 Evola, *Individuo*, 82.
- 35 Evola, *Individuo*, 87-88.
- 36 Paul Brunton, *The Inner Reality* (London: Rider & Co., n.d. [1939]); American edition titled *Discover Yourself* (New York: E. P. Dutton, 1939).
- 37 Paul Brunton, *The Quest of the Overself* (London: Rider & Co., n.d. [[1937]], 296.
- 38 Brunton, *Quest of the Overself*, 241.
- 39 Paul Brunton, *The Wisdom of the Overself* (London: Rider & Co., 1943).
- 40 That is, after Paul Brunton, *The Spiritual Crisis in Man* (London: Rider & Co., 1952).
- 41 Paul Brunton, *The Notebooks of Paul Brunton*, 16 vols. (Burdett, NY: Larson Publications, 1984-88).
- 42 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 56, note 176.
- 43 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 58, note 190.
- 44 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 109, note 230.
- 45 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 111, note 257.
- 46 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 112, note 262.
- 47 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 155, note 40.
- 48 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 59, note 198.
- 49 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 123, note 376.
- 50 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 81, note 37.
- 51 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 81, note 39.
- 52 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 169, note 159.
- 53 Julius Evola, *The Doctrine of Awakening*, translated by H. E. Musson (London: Luzac & Co., 1951), 273 [original edition 1943].
- 54 Evola, *Doctrine of Awakening*, 241.
- 55 Evola, *Doctrine of Awakening*, 288.
- 56 Julius Evola, *Ride the Tiger*, translated by Joscelyn Godwin and Constance Fontana (Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions, 2003), 126 [original edition 1961].
- 57 Evola, *Ride the Tiger*, 220.

- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 6, pt. 2, 51, note 163)
- René Guénon, *The Multiple States of Being*, translated by Joscelyn Godwin (Burdett, NY: Son Publications, 1984 [original edition 1932]).
- René Guénon, *Introduction to the Study of the Doctrines*, translated by Marco Pallis (London: Luzac & Co., 1945), 320-21 [original edition 1930].
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 16, pt. 1, 132, note 443.
- Brunton, *Secret Path*, 66.
- Julius Evola, *Revolt against the Modern World*, translated by Guido Stucco (Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions, 1995), 181, translation corrected from original, *Rivolta contro il mondo moderno*, 2nd (Milan: Fratelli Bocca, 1951), 243.
- H. P. Blavatsky, *Cosmic Memory*, translated by E. Zimmer (Hudson, NY: Steinerbooks, 1977), 103-104 [original edition 1904].
- the positive remarks in Julius Evola, *Maschera e volto dello spiritualismo contemporaneo* (Milan: Edizioni Mediterranee, 1971), 74 and n.
- Julius Evola, *Imperialismo pagano* (Rome: Bompiani, 1928), which drew an angry response from the future pope Paul VI.
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 11, 168, note 34.
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 11, 171, note 48.
- Evola, *Maschera e volto*, 112-23.
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 10, 147-52, notes 496-499.
- Evola, *Maschera e volto*, 118.
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 10, 150, note 511.
- Evola, *Maschera e volto*, 121.
- Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 10, 152, note 518.
- Evola, *Maschera e volto*, 121; Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 10, 151, note 514.
- Evola, *Revolt against the Modern World*, 356.
- 77 See Gianfranco de Turris, *Julius Evola: Un Filosofo in guerra 1943-1945* (Milan: Mursia, 2016). Translation by Eric Galati forthcoming (Rochester, Vt.: Inner Traditions).
- 78 De Turris, *Filosofo in guerra*, 209.
- 79 Brunton, *Wisdom of the Overself*, 275-76.
- 80 Brunton, *Wisdom of the Overself*, 254.
- 81 On this question, see Joscelyn Godwin, "When Does the Kali Yuga End?" *New Dawn* 138 (May-June 2013): 63-68.
- 82 Evola, *Revolt against the Modern World*, 346-47, translation corrected from *Rivolta contro il mondo moderno*, 446-47.
- 83 Brunton, *Wisdom of the Overself*, 280.
- 84 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 7, pt. 2, 47, note 132.
- 85 See Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 7, pt. 2, 94-98, notes 289-317; Evola, *Revolt against the Modern World*, 357.
- 86 Brunton, *Notebooks*, vol. 10, 143, note 470.
- 87 H. P. Blavatsky, *The Voice of the Silence* (London: Theosophical Publishing Company, 1889), 44.